

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: S-4229.1	Title: Proposed substitute to SB 6081.	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Ryan Giannini	Phone: 3607867285	Date: 01/22/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 01/30/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/30/2024
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

192,493.00

Request # 139-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The substitute bill would remove the section entitling consumers to actual damages if noncomplying language caused them to be substantially confused as to their rights under the contract. It would also remove good faith effort to comply defense and class action provisions and would clarify the extent to which bill applies to insurance contracts.

The bill would add a section to Consumer Protection Act (Chapter 19.86 RCW) requiring, with limited exceptions, that consumer contracts be written in plain language.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

The substitute bill would not have any fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts other than minimal court education or judicial information sharing.

CHANGE FROM ORIGINAL FISCAL NOTE: This bill, the original and the substitute, could have impacts on the courts due to increased case filings. The substitute is assumed to have a lesser possible case filing impact. The impact to courts is indeterminate. It is unknown how many additional cases may be filed.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

192,493.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

Request # 139-1

Bill # S-4229.1

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None